

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1430
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/617,450	07/11/2003	Minh Van Ngo	50432-600	3502		
75	7590 07/21/2005			EXAMINER		
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			TRAN, LONG K			
600 13th Street, Washington, D	, N.W. C 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
<b>.</b>		·	2818			
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005			

DATE MAILED. VIIZIIZOOS

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/617,450	NGO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Long K. Tran	2818		

· //	CAGIIIIICI	AILOIIL					
	Long K. Tran	2818					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b)</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection.  Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final reject	ion.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since				
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_				
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10 - 12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ul>		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a North of the affidation of th	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11.      The request for reconsideration has been considered but       The applicant's' arguments are not persuasive, it is belief       BPSG layer. However, the admitted prior art cited by Hu	eved that the rejections should be s	ustained Huang doe	es not use the				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							
	<b>David Nelms</b>						
Supervisory Patent Examiner							
Technology Center 2800							